ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

RECEIVED

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Organization IL | OUU Bldg./Room Co. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

IC1600





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,606 07/13/2001		07/13/2001	Odile Aubrun-Sonneville	210237US0 .	2212	
22850	7590	02/07/2005		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				YU, GINA C		
ALEXANDI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				1617		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903.606	AUBRUN-SONNEVILLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gina C. Yu	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2004.	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4)	wn from consideration. /are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/903,606

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged of amendment and declaration filed on December 1, 2004. The finality of the Office action dated July 1, 2004 is hereby withdrawn as the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) are withdrawn in view of applicants' remarks and the declaration. New rejections are now made in view of new prior arts. Claims 1-13 and 15-48 are pending.

Oath/Declaration

Declaration filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 on December 1, 2004 was fully considered. The declaration, along with English translation of laboratory notes in French, indicates that applicants had reduced to practice the claimed invention prior to May 29, 2000 and after January 1, 1996. The earliest date of the presentation of Proceedings (5th World Surfactants Congress) is on May 29, 2000. The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Aronson et al. (US 4,606,913) in view of Proceedings is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 22, 23, 29, 31-33, 35-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lachampt et al. (US 3846546) ("Lachampt").

Application/Control Number: 09/903,606

Art Unit: 1617

Lachampt discloses cosmetic water-in-oil emulsion comprising emulsions stabilization agent which is prepared by copolymerization of an alpha-olefin having 10-20 carbon atoms (apolar monomer) and dicarboxylic anhydrides (polar component). See col. 3, lines 22-41. See instant claims 1, 16, 19, 31-33, and 39. The reference teaches alpha-olefin of 1-decene, 1-dodecene, 1-tetradecene, 1-hexadecene, 1octadece and 1-eicosene. See Id; instant claim 3. The reference also discloses dicarboxylic anhydrides including maleic anhydride, itaconic anhydride, and citraconic anhydride. See instant claims 5, 6, and 8. Example 2 discloses a water-in-oil emulsion comprising 56 % by weight of water and hydrocarbon oils (petroleum jelly, paraffin oil, isopropyl palmitate, lanoline, microcrystalline wax) which make up at least 40 % of the oily phase. See also Examples 3-20; col. 4, lines 24 – 34. See instant claims 12, 13, 15, 29, 31-33, 35, 36. The method of using the composition to treat skin is an inherent use of the moisturizing cream. See instant claims 17, 18. Since the molecular weight of the 50% 1-octadecene/50% maleic anhydride copolymer is 18,000, and the molecular weight of 1-octadecene is 252 g/mol and maleic anhydride, 98 g/mol, the copolymer has about 51 moles of the olefin, and thus meets the limitation of "polyolefinic apolar component comprising at least 40 carbon atoms". See instant claims 1, 2, 16, 19, and 39. Example 2 contains 1.3 % by weight of the copolymer, meeting instant claims 11, 40-45. The method of making the emulsion is also taught in the Examples. See instant claims 19 and 20. Example 2 contains isopropyl palmitate, which is fatty acid ester of straight fatty acid of 15 carbon atoms, meets instant claim 22 and 23. The term "makeup removing" refers to future intended use or purpose of the composition, and will not

Art Unit: 1617

be given any patentable weight. Nevertheless, Example 13 exemplifies a moisturizing ---- "remover cream" which comprises isopropyl palmitate.

While the reference does not refer the polymer as an "emulsifier" the limitation is met since the prior art discloses the same compound. The property of the polymer to reduce the interfacial tension between the aqueous phase and the oily phase of the emulsion as recited in instant claim 4 is an inherent property of the prior art polymers.

Claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 29, 31-33, 35-39, and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viout et al. (US 3860700) ("Viout").

Viout discloses cosmetic water-in-oil emulsions comprising copolymer formed from an unsaturated dicarboxylic acid anhydride (polar component) and unsaturated monomer having alpha-olefins having 10-20 carbon atoms having a MW of 4000-100,000. See Examples 1-8; col. 3, lines 2 – 18. See instant claims 31-33. The reference discloses dicarboxylic anhydrides including maleic anhydride, itaconic anhydride, and citraconic anhydride. See col. 3, lines 2 – 13; see instant claims 5, 6, and 8. The reference teaches alpha-olefin of 1-decene, 1-dodecene, 1-tetradecene, 1-hexadecene, 1-octadece and 1-eicosene. See col. 3, lines 30-38; instant claim 3. The method of making water-in-oil emulsion by adding the copolymer in an oil to be emulsified and then adding a suitable amount of water is taught in Examples. See instant claims 19-20. Example E discloses a water-in-oil emulsion comprising 35 % by weight of water and hydrocarbon oils (paraffin oil) which make up at least 40 % of the oily phase. See also Examples A-N. See instant claims 12, 13, 15. The method of using the composition to treat skin is an inherent use of the moisturizing cream. See

instant claims 17, 18. Since the molecular weight of the 50% 1-eicosene /50% maleic anhydride copolymer used in Example E is 20,000, and the molecular weight of 1-octadecene is 280 g/mol and maleic anhydride, 98 g/mol, the copolymer has about 53 moles of the olefin, and thus meets the limitation of "polyolefinic apolar component comprising at least 40 carbon atoms". See instant claims 1, 2, 16, 19, and 39. The method of making the emulsion is also taught in the Examples. See instant claims 19 and 20.

Claims 46-48 are met since the copolymer is the only emulsifying component in the composition. The property of the polymer to reduce the interfacial tension between the aqueous phase and the oily phase of the emulsion as recited in instant claim 4 is an inherent property of the prior art polymers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lachampt as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 22, 23, 29, 31-33, 35-45 or Viout as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 29, 31-33, 35-39, and 46-48, and further in view of Knowlton (Poucher's Perfumes, Cosmetics and Soaps, Emulsion Theory).

Lachampt and Viout fail to teach water-in-oil-in water or oil-in-water-in-oil emulsions.

Knowlton teaches that many emulsions in cosmetic art are in complex structure of W/O/W or O/W/O emulsions. See p. 535, first full par. The reference teaches, "classification of emulsions into two types, each having two discreet phases", is simplistic. A deeper examination of emulsion systems reveals other phases apart from 'oil' and 'water' and included amongst these is the 'emulsifier phase' itself and the existence of liquid crystals." See Id.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the emulsions of Lachampt or Viout are in fact W/O/W or O/W/O emulsions as taught by Knowlton because the latter teaches that a two-phase emulsion in fact leads to the formation of multiphase systems.

Claims 24, 25, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lachampt as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 22, 23, 29, 31-33, 35-45 or Viout as applied to claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-20, 29, 31-33, 35-39, and 46-48, and further in view of Aronson et al. (US 4,606,913) ("Aronson").

The references fail to teach at least 80 % by weight of aqueous phase.

Aronson et al. is directed to high-internal phase emulsions wherein the emulsion is water-in-oil. See col. 5, lines 28-29. For hydrocarbon oils see column 6, lines 40- The amount of oily phase in water-in-oil emulsions is about 2-24% by volume (col. 6, lines 57-68). The amount of aqueous phase in water-in-oil emulsions is usually about 76-98% by volume (col. 7, lines 7-13). The reference teaches the method of making stable high internal phase emulsions less costly. See col. 3, lines 19 – 31, col. 5, lines 16 – 31.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,606

Art Unit: 1617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the composition of the Lachampt or Viout by making high internal phase emulsion as motivated by Aronson because the latter teaches that the high internal phase emulsions made according to the invention are less costly and stable. There is a reasonable expectation of successfully making the claimed invention because all the references are directed to cosmetic emulsions with water and hydrocarbon oils.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 9-11, 30, and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 15-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in part, as discussed above.

Conclusion

Claims 1-6, 8, 12, 13, 15-29, 31-33, 35-48 are rejected.

Claims 7, 9-11, 30, and 34 are objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-0635.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/903,606 Page 8

Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMILIER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/903,606 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination AUBRUN-SONNEVILLE ET AL. Examiner Gina C. Yu Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		·		0.0.1 ATENT DOCUMENTO	
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-3,846,546	11-1974	Lachampt et al.	514/783
	В	US-3,860,700	01-1975	Viout et al.	424/61
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	a					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Knowlton , J. "Emulsion Theory", Poucher's Perfumes, Cosmetics and Soaps, Vol. 3, (9th ed., Hilda Butler), 1993, pp 534-5.
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Chapman & Hall, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SEI 8HN, UK

Blackie Academic & Professional, Wester Cleddens Road, Bishopbriggs, Glasgow G64 2NZ, UK

Chapman & Hall Inc., 29 West 35th Street, New York NY10001, USA

Chapman & Hall Japan, Thomson Publishing Japan, Hirakawacho Nemoto Building, 6F, 1-7-11 Hirakawa-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102, Japan

Chapman & Hall Australia, Thomas Nelson Australia, 102 Dodds Street, South Melbourne, Victoria 3205, Australia

Chapman & Hall India, R. Seshadri, 32 Second Main Road, CIT East, Madras 600 035, India

First edition 1923

Reprinted 1976, 1979, 1984 Ninth edition 1993

© 1993 Chapman & Hall

Typeset in 10/12 pt Times by Best-set Typesetter Ltd., Hong Kong Printed in Great Britain at the University Press, Cambridge

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of the licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the publishers at the London address printed on this

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Butler, Hilda.

Modern cosmetics. – 9th ed. / edited and revised by Hilda Butler.
p. cm. – (Poucher's perfumes, cosmetics, and soaps; v. 3)
Updated ed. of: Modern cosmetics / by W.A. Poucher. 8th ed. 1975.
Includes index.

ISBN 0-412-27360-8

1. Cosmetics. I. Poucher, W.A. (William Arthur). Modern cosmetics. II. Title. III. Series: Poucher, W.A. (William Arthur). Perfumes, cosmetics, and soaps; v. 3.

TP983.P723 vol. 3 1992 668'.5 - dc20

92-30592 CIP

Contents

Contributors Preface Foreword

PART ONE

- 1 Antiperspirants and deodorants
 Brian R. Cuzner and Philip Klepak
- 2 Bath and shower products
 Anthony L.L. Hunting
- 3 Dental and mouthwash preparations Stephen Mason
- 4 Depilatories, masks, scrubs and bleaching preparations Kenneth Morris
- 5 Face powders Sue Hurst
- 6 Hair treatments F.J. Mottram
- 7 Hair shampoos F.J. Mottram
- 8 Hair colourantsBryan P. Murphy9 LipstickD. Max Bryce
- 10 Manicure preparations Mitchell L. Schlossman
- 11 Men's toiletries Ahmed I. Sahir

Poucher's Perfumes, Cosmetics and Soaps

Volume 3 Cosmetics

NINTH EDITION

Edited and revised by

Hilda Butler
Consultant in Cosmetics



Emulsion theory

John L. Knowlton

19.1 INTRODUCTION

context of emulsion theory for, in simplistic terms, emulsions are indeed mixtures of oily and aqueous materials. The old adage 'oil and water don't mix' is something of a paradox in the

scope for the creation of cosmetic elegance by modification of sensory ception of the finished product. Finally, but by no means least important attributes. Specifically, control of parameters such as product appearance benefit for pharmaceutical and healthcare products. Such flexibility offers possibility of incorporating topically applied 'active' materials is an added tunity for enormous flexibility in the choice of formulation design and the non-polar materials in the same product. This, in turn, gives the opporbeing that they allow incorporation of otherwise incompatible polar and why emulsions have become so popular are many fold, the most obvious provides massive scope for the design and production of a wide range of including that of cosmetic decoration. Modern day emulsion technology, marketing a cost-effective product the level of water in many emulsion products provides a feasible route for feel and viscosity, all have a significant impact on the consumer's per products in the cosmetics, toiletries and healthcare markets. The reasons Emulsions have been used for many centuries in a variety of ways

many hundreds of products on the market. growth of emulsion products in the cosmetics and toiletries industry, with The combination of the above factors has given rise to the enormous

19.2 DEFINITION AND TYPES OF EMULSION

in the form of very fine droplets'. immiscible or partially miscible liquids, one being dispersed in the other An emulsion can be defined as 'A two phase system, consisting of the

The 'phases' described in the above definition are normally referred to

second contains discreet droplets of water in oil and is known as a waterdispersed in water, referred to as an oil-in-water emulsion, whilst the oil phase and a water phase, it is then obvious that two types of emulsion polar lipophilic ('fat-loving') and polar hydrophilic ('water-loving') maknown as the internal or dispersed phase, whilst the continuum is referred in-oil emulsion. Irrespective of type, the discreet phase of an emulsion is are possible. The first type is that where discreet droplets of oil are terials, respectively. In that every emulsion can be described as having an as 'oil' and 'water', these terms being commonly used to describe nonto as the external or continuous phase.

existence of liquid crystals. Many emulsions therefore have a more comexamination of emulsion systems reveals other phases apart from 'oil' and sions. Such considerations are, however, beyond the scope of this text, of which are water-in-oil-in-water emulsions and oil-in-water-in-oil emulplex structure, leading to the formation of multiphase systems, examples into two types, each having two discreet phases, is simplistic. A deeper based on the previously described simplistic approach which aims to provide a comprehensive overview of emulsion systems 'water' and included amongst these is the 'emulsifier phase' itself and the It must be emphasized at this point that classification of emulsions

19.3 THE FORMATION OF EMULSIONS

perhaps one of the most easily understood is to consider the energy of the There are many approaches to the examination of emulsion formation but water phases very quickly with time. This process is represented diagrammatically in Fig. 19.1. besence of any other stabilizing force, will break down into its oil and system. An emulsion can be formed by simply applying external mechandiroplets. Once the mechanical energy is removed, the emulsion, in the down the two phases, dispersing one in the other, in the form of very fine partially miscible oil and water phases. The mechanical energy will break ical energy (e.g. rapid stirring) to a system which contains immiscible or

the free surface energy of the system. This energy term will be directly proportional to the interfacial area between the oil and water phases as The reasons for collapse of the emulsion can be explained in terms of escribed by the following equation:

$$dS = K \cdot dA$$

here S is the surface energy; and A is the surface area.

will rise enormously, due to the large increase in interfacial surface area When the emulsion is formed, the interfacial surface energy of the system